

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/916,465	Applicant(s) ANDREWS ET AL.	
	Examiner Jacob Cheu	Art Unit 1641	

All Participants:

(1) Jacob Cheu.

(2) Ms. Amjad.

Date of Interview: 13 May 2004

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

See Continuation Sheet

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of claims discussed: Applicant agreed to amend the instant claim 22 to clarify the claim language in compliance with 35 USC 112, second paragraph and place the applicatio in condition for allowance. The followings are amendments agreed upon.

Claim 22, line 2, change "which allows contact between" to -- including--.

Claim 22, line 2, delete "comprised of two monoclonal antibodies and a feline blood sample, said mixture."